



E-ISSN: 2709-9385
 P-ISSN: 2709-9377
 JCRFS 2021; 2(1): 31-34
 © 2021 JCRFS
www.foodresearchjournal.com
 Received: 16-11-2020
 Accepted: 18-12-2020

Nuru Seid Tehulie
 Department of Plant Science,
 College of Agriculture,
 Mekdela Amba University,
 Ethiopia

Ayalnesh Ayehu
 Department of Plant Science,
 College of Agriculture,
 Mekdela Amba University,
 Ethiopia

Asma Seidu Nuru
 Department of Plant Science,
 College of Agriculture,
 Mekdela Amba University,
 Ethiopia

Correspondence
Nuru Seid Tehulie
 Department of Plant Science,
 College of Agriculture,
 Mekdela Amba University,
 Ethiopia

Review on the effect of phosphorus fertilizer rates on growth and yield of soybean (*Glycine max* L.)

Nuru Seid Tehulie, Ayalnesh Ayehu and Asma Seidu Nuru

Abstract

Soybean (*Glycine max* L.) is the world's necessary meals legume of exquisite nutritional value. It is also a member of leguminosae family, rich in nutrients, and it is viewed as a nutrient storage. Among grain legumes, soybean is an economically necessary crop that is grown in diverse environments in the course of the world. The use of fertilizer is viewed to be one of the most essential factors to amplify crop yield. On the other hand, phosphorus deficiency is possibly one of the greatest constraints for agriculture that prevent boom overall performance and yield workable of the crop. The goal of this senior seminar to assessment fee of phosphorus application on growth performances of soybean (*Glycine max* L.). Phosphorus (P) deficiency and low water supply are foremost environmental constraints for agricultural manufacturing in many regions. Compared to cereal crops, the soybean (*Glycine max* L.) is more susceptible to phosphorus insufficiency and drought.

Keywords: fertilizer, soybean, phosphorus and yield

Introduction

Soybean (*Glycine max* L.) is a member of leguminosae family, prosperous in nutrients, and it is viewed as a nutrient storage. Among grain legumes, soybean is an economically essential crop that is grown in various environments throughout the world. Its adaptation to tropical and subtropical regions is still involving giant breeding work (FAO, 2008) ^[9]. The U.S.A, Argentina, Brazil, China and India are the world's biggest soybean producers and symbolize extra than 90% of global soybean production. The average international yield for soybean crops, in 2010, used to be 2.5 t/ha (Fernandez et al, 2006).

Soybean (*Glycine max* L.) is the world's necessary food legume of exquisite dietary value. The crop has the absolute best protein content (40%) of all food crops and is equal to proteins of animal products. It is the 2d subsequent to groundnut in terms of oil content (20%) among food legumes (Hailemarim and Tsigie, 2006) ^[13]. Soybean is now not only viewed as an oil plant but additionally used for various purposes. It is an vital source of protein, soymilk, soy meat, oil and micronutrients in human and animal diets has emerge as an more and more important agricultural commodity, with a consistent make bigger in global annual production due to its wonderful dietary price and health benefit. Recently, the pastime in soybean has been expanded and research work on soybean has been reactivated, this was once due to increasing demand for soybean as an industrial crop in most phase of the world (Mengel and Kirki, 2002). Some constraints to soybean productions are bugs like African bollworm, cut worm and inexperienced stink bug. Diseases like bacterial pustule, bacterial blight, virus and purple seed Zewdu (2009) ^[27]. Phosphorus fertilizer is one the most complex in production in many tropical soils, owing to low native content material and high phosphorus immobilization with in the soil. Zewdu (2009) ^[27] mentioned that phosphorus plays a foremost function in photosynthesis, respiration, energy storage, cellphone division, and maturation and additionally essential for root development however; when availability is limited, plant growth is commonly reduced.

In soybean, phosphorus deficiencies reduced root and leaf growth and yield and additionally maturation. In the soils that are rather low in phosphorus, Soybean yield and yield factors can be improved via making use of phosphorus. Soybean are more susceptible to nutrient deficiencies due to their shallow and fibrous root system, therefore they require and often reply properly to addition of fertilizer (Kakar, 2008) ^[15]. To use land continually for crop cultivation, incorporating organic and soil would provide multiple benefits for improving one of the most important factors to enlarge crop yield. According to (Tola. m 2000) ^[21]. Phosphorus has been shown to be an essential element and its application has been proven

to be necessary for growth, development and yield of soybean. On the different hand, phosphorus deficiency is likely one of the biggest constraints for agriculture that hinder growth performance and yield doable of the crop. The recommended fees of phosphorus vary from region to other areas based on the soil situation of the locality and there is no international typical price of phosphorus software for soybean. However; the recommended rate of phosphorus utility for soybean in Ethiopia is 100kg/ha (Abraham, 2008) ^[3]. Use of fertilizer DAP utilized below advocated rate and above endorsed price to decrease crop manufacturing of soybean. Water stress reduced increase performances of soybean (Shah *et al.* 2001).

Objective

- To overview the effect of phosphorus fertilizer charges on boom and yield of soybean (*Glycine max L.*).

Literature review

Absorption of phosphorus by using soybean

Phosphorus is a relatively reactive element, and as such, does not exist in the elemental form in the soil. The majority of phosphorus in most soil is in in truth insoluble forms and unavailable to plants. In fertile soil a extensive element of the complete phosphorus is in slightly soluble forms, which act as a “ready reserve” to fill up the pool of soluble phosphorus as it is depleted by way of and other organisms (Mengel and Kirkiby, 2001) ^[18]. Soybean roots take up phosphorus primarily in the ionic structure of either H₂PO₄ or HPO₄ (orthophosphate). The ionic form that is predominantly absorbed depends on soil pH. H₂PO₄ is greater with no trouble absorbed in low pH soils where as PHO₄ is preferentially absorbed in high pH soils (Mamo *et al.* 2002) ^[17]. At a soil pH of 7.0 there is about equal quantity of the two phosphorus types and as the pH increases above pH 7.0, the secondary orthophosphate ion will become the dominant shape of on hand phosphorus. Phosphorus uptake in vegetation is frequently constrained by using the very low solubility of phosphorus in the soil. Phosphorus types insoluble complexes with cat ion and is included into natural count number due to microbial pastime (Haynes and Naidu, 2006) ^[14].

Forms of phosphorus

Phosphorus in water exists in two foremost forms: dissolved (soluble) and particulate (attached to or a component of particulate matter) (Tisdale *et al.*, 2003) ^[23]. Ortho phosphorus is the principal dissolved structure of phosphorus and is simply accessible to algae and aquatic plants. Most of phosphorus discharged by way of waste water remedy services is in dissolved form. Particulate phosphorus can exchange from one structure to any other (called cycling) in response to a range of environmental prerequisites and component of particulate phosphorus is contained in organic count number such as algae, plant and animal tissue, waste solids or different natural be counted (FAO, 2008) ^[9]. Microbial decomposition of natural compounds can convert natural particulate P to dissolved Phosphorus. Some of the Phosphorus in soil mineral particles can additionally be transformed to dissolve P both in the water column and at some stage in chemical and physical modifications in backside sediment. Only the most tightly certain forms of particulate phosphorus such as aluminum-bound phosphorus are now not commonly handy

for algal boom (Brady and Weil, 2002) ^[7].

Method and time of phosphorus application

There are one-of-a-kind methods of making use of phosphorus fertilizer. Those are including: broadcast and incorporation, pinnacle dress, seed positioned phosphorus, and aspect banding phosphorus. Timely applying phosphorus plays a wonderful function in properly developing of crops; however, some strategies are more environment friendly than others. To attain true phosphorus fertilizer efficiency, adequate costs of nitrogen and other nutrients ought to be on hand to the crop (Kakar, 2008) ^[15]. Phosphorus is immobile in soil; therefore, plant uptake of Phosphorus may additionally be low in the first year after application.

Effects of phosphorus fertilizer rates on growth of soybean

Phosphorus is favorable in advertising the increase and yield of soybean might be due to the truth that phosphorus is an quintessential issue of sure enzymes and proteins; adenosine diammonium phosphate (ADP) and adenosine triphosphate (ATP), ribonucleic acids (RNA), deoxyribonucleic acids (DNA), phytin and some amino acids and absorbed phosphorus helped a direct stimulation of cellular exercise in roots and leaves (Malik *et al.*, 2006) ^[16]. Similarly, Kakar (2008) ^[15] noted that beneficial for the system of mobile phone division, meristematic boom and the net assimilation charge of phosphorus fed soybean have been accelerated by using their increased content and the absorbed phosphorus helped the formation of meals reserves due to greater photosynthetic activity. However, extra addition of phosphorus negatively influences soybean boom parameter such as leaf area, number of leaf per plant, leaf location index, number of nod per plant and spread of plant and others (Malik, 2006) ^[16]. Asaminew (2007) ^[4] said that utility of phosphorus amplify the increase performances of soybean like range of seed per pod, leaf length, root length, seed diameter and plant height.

Effects of phosphorus on yield of soybean

The effect of phosphorus utility in growing soybean yield and its yield elements could be explained through the importance of phosphorus for crop (Abdel, 2008) ^[2]. However, according to Singh (2009) ^[20], the extra utility of phosphorus fertilizer had a principal effect on the production and productivity of soybean crop, for this reason it multiplied burning of vegetative parts, yield and its yield elements reduced. Phosphorus software rate, Rhizobium inoculation and their interaction drastically affected canopy spread of soybean plants. Primary entire plant response to phosphorus addition used to be an enhancement in shoot boom brought on by using will increase in leaf area, leaf length and variety of branch expansion Zafar M (2011). Excess utility of phosphorus restriction plant nodulation, acetylene, reduced the emergence of seedling by 23% seeing that it burning the seed of soybean and also create weak (higher plant top and thinner stem diameter) that is less complicated to lodging, which resulted in the lower aspect of yield and seed yield (Da-Bing *et al.*, 2012) ^[8]. Similarly, low utility of phosphorus especially all through increase stage of plant lowered the internet CO₂ trade charge during the light period that is associated with a limit in stomata conductance and an make bigger in the interior CO₂

awareness which point out improved mesophyll resistance. Besides, phosphorus deficiency diminished the whole plant sparkling and dry mass, nodule weight, wide variety and functioning of crop, seed yield and seed quantity and size. Most of Ethiopian soils are deficient in available phosphorus then again so far there have been restrained lookup efforts in determining appropriate Phosphorus application charges for soybean grown in distinct soils and local weather conditions (Mamo *et al.*, 2002) ^[17]. The existing Phosphorus software rate for soybean is on blanket manner capacity that there is no soil or place precise recommendation price of phosphorus fertilizer. So farmers are getting low yield and terrible fantastic of soybean. Phosphorus (P) is an indispensable nutrient required for plant growth, development and productivity, but its low availability in soils makes it one of the least cellular mineral elements. Chemical P fertilizers are the essential source of plant on hand phosphates alleviation in agro ecosystems, but the majority (approximately 75-80%) of applied phosphates are constant in soil and they became much less handy for crops (Vance *et al.*, 2003). Nowadays, the use of P fertilizer is decreased notably in many international locations due to the fact of the higher prices of these fertilizers and many farmers don't have the capacity to purchase them. In addition, the long-term sustainability of fertilizers utility is questionable because in accordance to some estimates the world reserves of rock phosphates are anticipated to be exhausted in the next 50 years at modern rates of consumption (Gilbert, 2009). Under low stages of soil moisture, no longer solely water but also nutrient availability may also be severely decreased when in contrast with nicely watered stipulations (Gahoonia *et al.*, 2000). There is need to pick out suitable biological method to improve plant diet below bad soil sources such as scarce availability of phosphates and water. Plant increase advertising rhizobacteria (PGPR) due to their capability to extend nutrient uptake and hence to promote plant growth, have often been advised as a ability to improve crop manufacturing (Adesemoye *et al.*, 2009). Low P in soil is a important constraint for soybean boom and production, which are atmospheric nitrogen (N₂) based (Bordeleau and Prévost 2003) ^[5]. Because P is particularly vital for symbiotic N₂ fixation in legumes (Zahran 2001) ^[25]. When P charge in soil is low, this manner can be strongly undermined and for that reason turns into a main yield-limiting nutrient (Pereira and Bliss 2004).

Application of P has extensively affected the grain yield of the bean. Phosphorus software at all costs besides at 60 kg P ha⁻¹, resulted in significantly higher grain yield than the control. The absolute best yield (459.0 kg ha⁻¹) was once obtained from the utility of 30 kg P ha⁻¹, whereas the lowest yield (399.0 kg ha⁻¹) was once obtained from the manage (Gifole Gidago *et al.*, 2011) ^[11]. According to Birhan (2006) software of phosphorus has substantially affected grain yield of the bean. Phosphorus application at all costs besides at 60kg P ha⁻¹, resulted in notably higher grain yield than the control. The highest yield used to be received from the utility of 30kg p ha⁻¹, whereas the lowest yield used to be got from control.

Summery and Conclusion

The use of phosphorus fertilizer is viewed to be one of the most important factors to expand boom performance and

yield of soybean. On the different hand, both excess and under application of phosphorus should adversely affect the growth, yield and yield components of soybean. Excess application of phosphorus restriction plant nodulation, acetylene, decreased the emergence of seedling by means of 23% on account that it burning the seed of soybean and also creates weak (higher plant top and thinner stem diameter) that is less difficult to lodging, which resulted in the lower factor of yield and seed yield. Hence, figuring out the fantastic ranges of Phosphorous fertilizer is the most essential consideration for maximizing of crop yield.

References

1. Abbasi MK, Majeed A, Sadiq A, Khan SR. Application of Bradyrhizobium japonicum 403 and phosphorus fertilization improved growth, yield and nodulation of soybean in the 404 sub-humid hilly region of Azad Jammu and Kashmir, Pakistan. Plant Prod. Sci 2008.
2. Abdel-Hafez. Effect of partially acidulate phosphate rocks and triple superphosphate and their combinations on growth, mineral composition and yield of soybean, Ph.D. thesis, College of Agricultural Studies, University of Sudan for Science and Technology, Khartoum, Sudan 2008.
3. Abraham Tadesse. Increasing crop production through improved plant protection Addis Ababa, Ethiopia 2008, I.
4. Asaminew D. Effects of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* inoculation and fertilization on nodulation, protein content, yield and yield component of soybean (*Glycine max* L.) in Hawassa. M.Sc. Thesis, Hawassa University, Ethiopia 2007.
5. Bordeleau LM, Danielle Prévost. Nodulation and phosphorus fertilizer in extreme environments 2003.
6. Basso, Ritchie JT. Impact of compost, manure and inorganic fertilizer on nitrate leaching and yield for a 6-year soy bean rotation in Michigan, Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 2005;108(4):309-341
7. Brady NC, Weil RR. The nature and properties of soils. Thirteenth Ed Prentice Hall Publishing, Newjerkey 2002, 900-960.
8. Da-Bing Xiang, Tai-Wen Yong, Wen-Yu Yan. Effect of phosphorus and potassium nutrition on growth and yield of soybean in relay strip intercropping system. College of Agronomy, Sichuan Agricultural University, Ya'an, Sichuan, China 2012.
9. FAO (Food and Agricultural Organization). Fertilizer and Plant Nutrition Bulletin. FAO, Rome, Italy, 2008, 220.
10. Fernandez-Cornejo J, Caswell Margriet. The First Decade of Genetically Engineered Crops in the United States (PDF). United States Department of Agriculture 2006.
11. Gifole Gidago, Shelene Beyene, Walelign Worku. The Response of Haricot Bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) to Phosphorus Application on Ultisols at Areka, Southern Ethiopia. Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare 2011, 1(3). ISSN 2224-3208 (Paper) ISSN 2225-093X (Online).
12. Gomez, Kwanehia. Statistical procedures for Agricultural Research/Kwanehia A. Gomez and Arture A. Gomez New York. John Wilier and Sons 1984.
13. Hailemarim A, Tsigie A. Biological nitrogen fixation research in food legumes in Ethiopia. Proceedings of the

- National Workshop on Food and Forage Legumes, September 22-26, 2003, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia 2006.
14. Haynes, Naidu R. Influence of lime, fertilizer and manure applications on soil organic matter content and soil physical conditions: a review, *Nutrient Cycling in Agro ecosystems* 2006;51(2):123-137.
 15. Kakar KM, Tariq FH Taj, Nawab K. Phosphorous use efficiency of soybean as affected by phosphorous application and inoculation, *Pakistan Journal of Agronomy* 2008;1(1):49.
 16. Malik MA, Cheema MA, Khan HZ, Ashfaq MW. Growth and yield response of soybean (*Glycine max* L.) to seed inoculation and varying phosphorus levels. *J Agric. Res* 2006;44:47-53.
 17. Mamo T, Richter C, Heiligtag B. Phosphorus availability studies on ten Ethiopian Verti Soils. *J Agric. Rural Dev. Trop. Subtropics* 2002;103:177-183.
 18. Mengel K, Kirkby EA. *Principles of Plant Nutrition*. 4th Edn, International Potash, Institute, Bern, Switzerland, 2001, 347-420.
 19. Pereira PAA, Bliss FA. Selection of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) for N₂ fixation at different levels of available phosphorus under field and environmentally-controlled conditions. *Plant and Soil* 1989; 115(1):75-82. Doi: 10.1007/BF02220696.
 20. Singh AS Jeena, Singh GR. Effect of N.P. fertilizer on plant growth and root characteristic in soybean, Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture 2009;24(2):127-129.
 21. Tola M. Urea fertilizer and variety effect on vegetable soybean yield, AVRDC-TOP 9 Training Report, Kasetsart University, Bangkok, Thailand 2000.
 22. Tomar SS, Singh R, Singh PS. Response of phosphorus, sulphur and Rhizobium inoculation on growth, yield and quality of soybean. *Prog. Agric.*, 2004.
 23. Tisdale SL, Nilson WL, Beaton JD. *Soil fertility and fertilizers*. Fifth (ed.) MacMillan pub. co., New York 2003.
 24. Uzoma AO, Osunde AO, Bala A. Effect of phosphorus and Rhizobium inoculation on the yield and yield components of soy bean breeding lines in Minna, 2006.
 25. Zahran Hamdi Hussein. Rhizobium-legume symbiosis and nitrogen fixation under severe conditions and in an arid climate. *Microbiology and molecular biology reviews* no 2001;63(4):968-989.
 26. Zafar M, Abbasi M, Rahim N, Khaliq A, Shaheen A, Jamil M *et al.* Influence of integrated phosphorus supply and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on growth, nodulation, yield and nutrient uptake in *Phaseolus vulgaris*. *Afr. J Biotechnol* 2011.
 27. Zewdu D. Growth, yield and nitrogen fixation response of soybean (*Glycine max* L.) to *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* inoculation and phosphorus application at Goffa, SNNPRS. M.Sc. Thesis, Hawassa University 2009.